



College of Physicians and Surgeons of British Columbia

Practice Standard

Conflict of Interest

| | |
|--------------------------|--|
| Effective: | June 1995 |
| Last revised: | June 2010 |
| Version: | 4.0 |
| Next review: | June 2019 |
| Related topic(s): | Conflict of Interest Arising from Clinical Research , Treating Self, Family Members and Those with Whom You Have a Non-professional Relationship |

A **practice standard** reflects the minimum standard of professional behaviour and ethical conduct on a specific topic or issue expected by the College of all physicians in British Columbia. Standards also reflect relevant legal requirements and are enforceable under the [Health Professions Act](#), RSBC 1996, c.183 (*HPA*) and College [Bylaws](#) under the *HPA*.

Registrants may seek advice on these issues by contacting the College and asking to speak with a member of the registrar staff, or by seeking medical legal advice from the CMPA.

PREAMBLE

This document is a standard of the Board of the College of Physicians and Surgeons of British Columbia.

Physicians must act in the best interests of their patients. This includes managing and avoiding situations where conflicts of interest might occur.

A conflict of interest arises where a reasonable person could think that a physician's duty to act in the patient's best interests may be affected or influenced by other competing interests. Conflicts of interest can be real, potential or perceived. Conflicts of interest may arise in a variety of circumstances including financial, non-financial, direct, and indirect transactions with patients and others. Financial gain by the physician is not necessary to establish a conflict of interest. As well, the physician does not need to directly profit from the relationship. A conflict of interest may arise where the benefit is accrued by the physician's family, close friends, corporation or other businesses, and business partners.

COLLEGE'S POSITION

Physicians are reminded that the patient-physician relationship is a fiduciary relationship; that as fiduciary, the physician is in a position of power and confidence over the patient; and that power must be exercised in the patient's best interests. Patients are regarded as vulnerable in relation to physicians. They rely on physicians and must be confident that their needs are considered foremost.

Physicians must be aware that even the appearance of a conflict might damage their professional reputation, and must take steps to avoid creating such a perception.

Common situations which may give rise to a real or perceived conflict of interest include the following:

1. Promoting and selling products to patients for profit (this must be read in conjunction with the College's standard on [Promotion and Sale of Products](#)).
2. Accepting or offering commissions, rebates, fees, gifts or other incentives from/to third parties who
 - receive/provide patient referrals from the physician, or
 - receive/provide medically and non-medically necessary services or products to patients, including devices, appliances, supplies, pharmaceuticals, diagnostic procedures and therapeutic services.
3. Leasing space to or from third parties in the circumstances identified above if, in exchange, the rental arrangement is markedly different from fair market value and/or the lease arrangements are dependent on the volume of business generated by the physician or third party.
4. Referring patients to businesses or facilities where the physician holds a financial interest, including treatment and/or diagnostic facilities, almost always creates a conflict of interest. There are two exceptions to this general principle. First, referring patients to a self-interested facility is acceptable in a community with demonstrated need, such as a rural setting, where there are no or very limited alternatives other than the referred facility. Second, in the interest of maintaining continuity of care, physicians may refer their own patients to a College-accredited facility, separate from the physician's own office practice, if the physician directly provides care and services to that patient at the referred facility.

Referrals in the two exceptions identified above are acceptable only if:

- a. the return on the physician's investment is based on the equity or interest in the facility, and not on the volume of patient referrals made by the physician;
- b. prior to referral, the physician fully discloses the interest he/she has in the facility to the patient; and
- c. where applicable, the physician provides accurate information about wait times for alternate facilities to allow the patient an opportunity to make a fully informed decision about whether or not to proceed with treatment at the referred facility.

Physicians should scrupulously avoid situations, real or perceived, where the patient is unduly pressured or coerced into undergoing the procedure at the referred facility.

CONCLUSION

It is not always easy to identify and manage the wide-ranging circumstances where conflicts of interest might arise in the course of a physician's professional duties and activities. If questions or concerns arise about conflict of interest, physicians are encouraged to consult with colleagues, the College and/or the Canadian Medical Protective Association for further direction and advice.

GUIDING ETHICAL PRINCIPLES

CMA Code of Ethics

Fundamental Responsibilities

1. Consider first the well-being of the patient.
2. Practice the profession of medicine in a manner that treats the patient with dignity and as a person worthy of respect.
7. Resist any influence or interference that could undermine your professional integrity.

Responsibilities to the Patient

11. Recognize and disclose conflicts of interest that arise in the course of your professional duties and activities, and resolve them in the best interest of the patients.
12. Inform your patient when your personal values would influence the recommendation or practice of any medical procedure that the patient needs or wants.
13. Do not exploit patients for personal advantage.
16. In determining professional fees to patients for non-insured services, consider both the nature of the service provided and the ability of the patient to pay, and be prepared to discuss the fee with the patient.
23. Recommend only those diagnostic and therapeutic services that you consider to be beneficial to your patient or to others. If a service is recommended for the benefit of others, as for example in matters of public health, inform your patient of this fact and proceed only with explicit informed consent or where required by law.

Responsibilities to the Profession

49. Be willing to participate in peer review of other physicians and to undergo review by your peers. Enter into associations, contracts and agreements only if you can maintain your professional integrity and safeguard the interests of your patients.
50. Avoid promoting, as a member of the medical profession, any service (except your own) or product for personal gain.

REFERENCES

- Canadian Medical Association. *Code of ethics*. Ottawa: The Association; 2004.
- Canadian Medical Association. *Guidelines for Physicians in Interactions with Industry*. CMA Policy, 2007.
- College of Physicians and Surgeons of British Columbia. *Conflict of interest arising from clinical research (professional standards and guidelines)*. Vancouver, BC, 2008.
- College of Physicians and Surgeons of British Columbia. *Conflict of interest (professional standards and guidelines)*. Vancouver, BC, 2008.
- College of Physicians and Surgeons of Alberta, Research Ethics Review Committee. *Declaration of conflict of interest*, 2007.
- College of Physicians and Surgeons of Saskatchewan. *College Bylaw: 9.1 Conflict of Interest*, effective April 2010.
- College of Physicians and Surgeons of Manitoba. *Statement 124: Conflict of Interest*, September 2003.
- College of Physicians and Surgeons of Manitoba. *Guideline 106: Conflict of Interest: Relationship with the pharmaceutical industry*, June 2002.
- College of Physicians and Surgeons of Manitoba. *Statement no 149: Unproven therapies*, January 1999.
- College of Physicians and Surgeons of Ontario. *Governance process manual, February 2010*.
- College of Physicians and Surgeons of Ontario. *Conflict of Interest: recruitment of subjects for research studies. (Policy Statement 6-06)*. Toronto, ON: College of Physicians and Surgeons of Ontario, 2006.
- College of Physicians and Surgeons of Ontario. *The practice guide: medical professionalism and College policies*. Toronto, ON: College of Physicians and Surgeons of Ontario, 2007.
- College of Physicians and Surgeons of New Brunswick. *Medical Act*. Rothesay, New Brunswick: College of Physicians and Surgeons of New Brunswick, amended June 19 2009.
- College of Physicians and Surgeons of Nova Scotia. *Conflict of interest guidelines*. Halifax, Nova Scotia: College of Physicians and Surgeons of Nova Scotia, October 12 2007.
- College of Family Physicians of Canada. *Bylaws of the College of Family Physicians of Canada, Bylaw 1, Chapter 15 Conflict of Interest*. Mississauga, Ontario: College of Family Physicians of Canada, ratified October 15, 2010.
- General Medical Council. *Conflicts of interest: guidance for doctors*. London, England: General Medical Council, September 2008.
- Government of Ontario. *Medicine Act, 1991: part IV: Conflict of Interest*. (Ontario regulation 114/94: online). Ontario.

Okike K, Kocher MS, Wei EX, Mehlman CT, Bhandari M. *Accuracy of conflict-of-interest disclosures reported by physicians*. *New England Journal of Medicine* 2009; 361(15):1466-74.

Royal College of Physicians and Surgeons of Canada. *Physicians and industry: conflicts of interest*. Ottawa, Ontario: Royal College of Physicians and Surgeons of Canada, January 2005.

Royal Australasian College of Surgeons. *Policy: conflict of interest*. East Melbourne, Victoria, Australia: Royal College of Surgeons, March 2010.

The Royal Australasian College of Physicians (RACP). *RACP code of professional behavior*.

Weinfurt KP, Hall MA, King NM, Friedman JY, Schulman KA, Sugarman J. *Disclosure of financial relationships to participants in clinical research*. *New England Journal of Medicine* 2009; 361(9):916-21.